UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ·	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,159	03/24/2004	Susanne Marie Crockett	P24714 (SBC MS1019)	6473
	7590 04/03/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	•	EXAMINER GAUTHIER, GERALD	
	CLARKE PLACE			
RESTON, VA	20171		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	04/03/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/03/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/807,159	CROCKETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gerald Gauthier	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2007.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/12/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:-

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim(s) 2, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "Voice interactive media server" is not supported by specification or the drawings.

Claim(s) 3-5, 10-12, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for being dependent of rejected claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 2614

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 3

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim(s) 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch (US 2004/0111269 A1) in view of Zimmers et al. (US 6,816,878 B1).

Regarding **claim(s) 1**, Koch discloses a method for executing a network service provided in a public switched telephone network (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0001), the method comprising:

receiving a message from a call processor (SCP 310 on FIG. 3) at a voice extensible markup language platform (VXML gateway 312 on FIG. 3), the message comprising an announcement (FIG. 3 and paragraphs 0042 and 0043); and

Koch discloses an SCP sending the announcement to the platform but fails to disclose an announcement ID to the platform.

Art Unit: 2614

However, Zimmers teaches analyzing the announcement identification, to determine a remote location where an announcement corresponding to the announcement identification is stored (column 8, lines 47-67);

playing the announcement (column 11, lines 35-49), wherein the announcement stored at the remote location can be created or changed by a user without affecting the announcement identification (column 14, lines 25-66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Koch using the teaching of searching the database as taught by Zimmers.

This modification of the invention enables the system to send an announcement ID to the platform so that the user would have the advantage of listening of an alert.

Regarding **claim(s) 2, 9 and 16**, Koch discloses a method, in which the VXML platform comprises an intelligent peripheral component and a voice interactive media server component (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0036).

Regarding **claim(s) 3, 10 and 17**, Koch discloses a method, further comprising: receiving the announcement identification at the IP component (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0035);

encoding the announcement identification so that the IP component recognizes that the VIMS component will process the announcement identification (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0035); and

Art Unit: 2614

forwarding the announcement identification to the VIMS component (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0035).

Regarding claim(s) 4 and 11, Koch discloses a method, further comprising, at the VIMS component, correlating the announcement identification to the announcement location (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0035).

Regarding claim(s) 5, 12 and 18, Koch discloses a method, in which the correlating is based upon a server location identification provided in a user profile (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0042).

Regarding claim(s) 6 and 13, Koch discloses a method, in which the remote location comprises a web server (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0040).

Regarding claim(s) 7 and 14, Koch discloses a method in which the web server is identified by a uniform resources locator (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0038).

Regarding claim(s) 8, Koch discloses a system for executing a network service provided in a public switched telephone network (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0001), the system comprising:

a voice extensible markup language platform configured for receiving a message comprising an announcement identification, the VXML platform analyzing the

Art Unit: 2614

announcement identification to determine a remote customer location where an announcement corresponding to the announcement identification is stored, and then playing the announcement (FIG. 3 and paragraphs 0042 and 0043).

Koch discloses an SCP sending the announcement to the platform but fails to disclose an announcement ID to the platform.

However, Zimmers teaches wherein the announcement stored created or changed by a user without affecting the announcement identification (column 14, lines 25-66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Koch using the teaching of searching the database as taught by Zimmers.

This modification of the invention enables the system to send an announcement ID to the platform so that the user would have the advantage of listening of an alert.

Regarding **claim(s) 15**, Koch discloses a voice extensible markup language platform for facilitating execution of a network service provided in a public switched telephone network (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0001), the platform comprising:

a receiver for receiving a message from a service control point, the message comprising an announcement identification (FIG. 3 and paragraphs 0042 and 0043).

Koch discloses an SCP sending the announcement to the platform but fails to disclose an announcement ID to the platform.

Art Unit: 2614

However, Zimmers teaches an interface for playing the announcement (column 11, lines 35-49), wherein the announcement stored at the remote customer location can be created or changed by a user without affecting the announcement identification (column 14, lines 25-66).

an analyzer for determining a remote customer location where an announcement corresponding to the announcement identification is stored, based on at least the announcement identification (column 14, lines 25-66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Koch using the teaching of searching the database as taught by Zimmers.

This modification of the invention enables the system to send an announcement ID to the platform so that the user would have the advantage of listening of an alert.

Regarding **claim(s) 19**, Koch discloses a voice extensible markup language platform, in which the VXML platform communicates with the call processor using intelligent network application part signaling (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0035).

Regarding **claim(s) 20**, Koch discloses a voice extensible markup language platform, in which the VXML platform communicates with a web server storing the announcement in order to play the announcement (FIG. 1 and paragraph 0038).

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claim(s) 1-20** have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald Gauthier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7539. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gerald Gauthier
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2614

GG March 26, 2007